

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/848,905	CASEBOLT, SCOTT C.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634

All Participants:

Status of Application: *newly-filed*

(1) Hugh B. Thompson II (PTO)

(3) _____

(2) Ms. Robin Sannes

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 March 2007

Time: pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 7, 12, 17

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims will be amended to remove positive recitations of the drum and properly recite the connection between the connecting end of the lifeline and a connector that is releasably connected to a user.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hugh B. Thompson II

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)